

URS

101 Research Drive Columbia, SC 29203 Phone: (803) 254-4400

none: (803) 254-4400 Fax: (803) 771-6676

Posted to USC Website

RE: USC Upstate Street and Parking Lot Maintenance and Repairs For the University of South Carolina State Project No. H34-9544-JM-E URS Project No. 46423272

ADDENDUM NO. 2 - April 24, 2014

TO: ALL HOLDERS OF RECORD OF CONTRACT DOCUMENTS

Acknowledge receipt of this addendum by inserting its number and date in the Bid Form. Failure to do so may subject bidder to disqualification. This addendum forms a part of the Contract Documents. It modifies them as follows:

GENERAL QUESTIONS

1. Question: In Site G it calls out for us to install a new concrete loading dock. Does this concrete need to be high early or is the owner going to be able to stay off of the new concrete for at least 7 days?

Answer: The concrete in this area will not be required to be high early strength.

2. **Question:** Clarification: All excess dirt can stay and be stockpiled on-site in an approved area? Then unsuitables will have to be hauled off site?

Answer: Any encountered unsuitable material must be removed from the site.

3. **Question:** Refer to sheet 5 and sheet 8. It calls out for barrier curb on the West side of the parking lot in two different locations. Are we to use detail #5 or detail #7 for this curb?

Answer: Use Detail No. 7 in these areas.

4. **Question:** Are any asbuilts required?

Answer: To clarify Note 12 of the Construction Sequence on sheet 9, as-builts will be required for newly installed and modified storm drainage only.

5. **Question:** No silt fence is shown on this set of drawings. Is any silt fence going to be required on any of these three different areas?

Answer: We have modified Sheet 5 to indicate two small areas where we will require silt fence. As the majority of the remaining work is maintenance of existing streets and parking areas, no silt fence is required in those areas. However, it is the contractor's responsibility to control erosion and sediment build-up in the adjacent areas, streets, and ponds, streams, creeks. Any sediment build-up must be removed prior to completion of the project.

6. **Question:** Is a construction entrance required on Site H?

Answer: A construction entrance at this location is not required; however, the contractor must prevent sediment from leaving the site and tracking onto adjacent areas as well as return all non-improvement areas to their original condition, if affected by construction operations.

7. **Question:** The specs mention construction schedules. Is a schedule going to be a requirement for this project?

Answer: At the pre-construction conference the owner would like a project schedule indicating major work components with milestones and durations and it shall be updated periodically throughout the project as conditions dictate.

8. **Question:** Is the owner or contractor responsible for testing?

Answer: Please reference Specification 01410 – Testing Lab Services.

9. **Question:** Is prime (base course) going to be required for this project?

Answer: No, prime coat for the stone base will not be required.

10. **Question:** On the full depth reclamation. It calls out for 6" and we understand that it is the contractor's responsibility to figure out the amount of cement to mix in. Is there a certain percentage or a cement-to-mixed depth ratio that we need to be within?

Answer: The quality of the existing materials will dictate the strength of the resultant pulverized material. Although it is envisioned that the resulting material will be adequate and that cement will not be required, it will be up to the contractor to determine what, if any, amounts of stabilizing agents (portland cement, fly ash, lime, asphalt emulsion, etc) are needed to achieve the owner's desired results.

11. **Question:** On the full depth reclamation. Is there a curing method required?

Answer: We will rely on the contractor to utilize the curing method of their choice to achieve the owner's desired results.

12. **Question:** Is the ditch that was previously in Site D now included in Site E? According to the addendum #1 drawings, this area has been deleted but the wording in the Addendum states that is part of Site E. Please clarify.

Answer: The remaining sections are shown on the amended plans that were part of Addendum No. 1. The roadside ditch at the northern end of Site D is no longer part of the project.

DRAWINGS

1. Replace the previously amended plan sheet 5 with the attached plan sheet 5.

ATTACHMENTS

1. Revised plan sheet 5.

END OF ADDENDUM NO. 2

